

CPD Program for Primary Care Renal for the Busy Clinician Renal Transplant Update

Julie Ho, MD FRCPC Associate Professor Department of Internal Medicine & Immunology



Faculty/Presenter Disclosure

- Faculty: Julie Ho, MD FRCPC
- Relationships with commercial interests:
 - Speaker Fees: Canadian Transplant Forum March 2017 Astellas Pharma
- Mitigating potential bias:
 - Not applicable
- Copyright:
 - This copy is provided exclusively for research purposes and private study. Any use of the copy for a purpose other than research or private study may require authorization of the copyright owner of the work in question. Responsibility regarding questions of copyright that may arise in the use of this copy is assumed by the recipient.



Learning Objectives

At the conclusion of this educational activity, the participants will be able to:

- Describe the importance of kidney transplantation for ESRD patients
- Develop an understanding of different types of kidney transplant donors
- Discuss pre- and post-kidney transplant management considerations that are relevant to primary care

Kidney Disease is a Silent Killer

 $- \blacklozenge -$, GP male; $- \blacksquare -$, GP female; $- \blacktriangle -$, GP black; $- \blacklozenge -$, GP white; $-\diamondsuit -$, dialysis male; $-\Box -$, dialysis female; $-\bigtriangleup -$, dialysis black; $-\bigcirc -$, dialysis white.



Foley R et al. Am J Kid Dis 1998; 32(5 Suppl 3): S112-119

Life Years: Remaining on Dialysis vs. Receiving a Transplant (Estimated based on age of onset of end-stage kidney disease)



Dialysis Transplant

Kidney Transplantation Saves Lives



The average waiting time to get a kidney from a deceased donor in Manitoba is 4-6 years.





Manitoba Waitlist Dialysis vs. Transplant Patient Survival₂₀₀₀₊

Waitlist Patient remaining on Dialysis

Waitlist Patient Transplanted



For all age groups, patients eligible for transplant live longer with a transplant

Slide courtesy of Dr. Peter Nickerson



Canadian Kidney Transplant Waitlists

People on active transplant waitlist (per million population) by province 2006 - 2015



*Rates for B.C. - population of Yukon is included **Rates for Alta. - populations of NWT & Nunavut are included Source – CORR estats 2006 – 2014, CBS survey 2015 NOTE – no data available for Sask. 2011 & 2012, Que. 2012 & 2015

Slide courtesy of Dr. Peter Nickerson

Kidney Transplantation Improves Quality of Life



I don't care what day it is. Four hours is four hours.



I do sympathize with you, sir, but I'm afraid it cannot be viewed as 'carry on' luggage.



Cost Effectiveness of Kidney Transplantation



In 2016, we did 57 kidney transplants which is a cost-savings of 3.59M in the 2nd year post-transplant alone.



Kidney Transplantation Patient Care Map





Canadian Society of Transplantation consensus guidelines on eligibility for kidney transplantation Knoll G et al. Can Med Ass J 2005;173(10):S1



Who will do well post-transplant?

- 1. Short-term peri-operative risk
- 2. Long-term immunosuppression

Domains of evaluation:

- 1. Medical
 - 1. Chronic infections
 - 2. Malignancy
 - 3. Systemic disease
- 2. Surgical/peri-operative
- 3. Immunological risk
- 4. Psychosocial/adherence

Pre-transplant testing – average 6-12 months to complete



Kidney Transplant Donors

Living donors

- 1. Graft survival ~20-25 years
- 2. Pre-emptive possibility

Deceased donors

- 1. Graft survival ~ 13-15 years
- 2. Wait time

Types of living kidney donors

- 1. Direct donation
- 2. Kidney paired exchange (KPD)
- 3. Altruistic, non-directed

Types of deceased kidney donors

- 1. Neurological determination death (NDD)
- 2. Donation after cardiac death (DCD)
- 3. Medical Assistance in Dying (MAID)

• Describing Donor Quality - KDPI

- 1. Standard criteria donor (SCD)
- 2. Extended criteria donor (ECD)
- 3. High infectious risk donor (IRD)
- 4. Exceptional distribution donor (ED)



Kidney Paired Exchange Program

Closed chains



The donor in each pair cannot give their kidney to the recipient because they are not a match

The donors can give their kidney to the **other** recipient because they are a good match

© UHN Patient Education

Domino chains



University Health Network

Canadian Blood Services



2 Way Exchange

Power of the Domino Exchange

235 kidney transplants (2009-2013)



3 Way Exchange



Closed Domino Exchange





Kidney Transplant Recipients

Standard kidney transplant recipients

• Low or high immunological risk based on HLA match, antibody memory

Highly sensitized kidney transplant recipients (~20% waitlist, previously 1% transplants)

- PRA >95%
- Highly sensitized patient registry, since 2013
- Minneapolis



Deceased Donor Organ Allocation Policy (February 2017)



Donor-Derived Infections

Key points

- Unusual clinical syndromes or clusters of infections in recipients of organs from the same donor suggest donor-derived infection as a possible source of transmission
- The incidence of transmission of unexpected infection by organ allografts is low, but precise data are lacking
- Screening of donors for common pathogens involves both epidemiologic history and microbiological assays, and is highly effective for preventing the transmission of HIV and hepatitis B and C viruses
- Donor screening for uncommon pathogens must be guided by knowledge of changes in the local epidemiology of infection
- The key element in the detection of donor-derived infection is suspicion on the part of the clinicians caring for organ recipients
- Application of newer microbiological techniques will increase the speed of donor screening and enhance transplant safety





High Infectious Risk Donors



TABLE 3. Risk per 10,000 donors of an HIV infection occurring during the window period, by ELISA and NAT. Assumes a WP of 21 days for ELISA and 7 days for NAT

| ELISA per 10,000 | NAT+ELISA per 10,000 | Risk of window period infection for NAT and ELISA expressed as ratio |
|---------------------|---|--|
| 5.8 (5.2–6.6) | 2.4 (2.1–2.7) | 1:4167 |
| 6.6 (6.1–7.2) | 2.7 (2.5–3.0) | 1:3704 |
| 3.7 (3.0–4.8) | 1.5 (1.2–2.0) | 1:6667 |
| 0.7 (0.5–0.9) | 0.3 (0.2–0.4) | 1:33,333 |
| 1.5 (0.8–2.4) | 0.6 (0.4–1.0) | 1:16,667 |
| 1.0 (0.8–1.2) | 0.4 (0.3–0.5) | 1:25,000 |
| | ELISA per 10,000 5.8 (5.2–6.6) 6.6 (6.1–7.2) 3.7 (3.0–4.8) 0.7 (0.5–0.9) 1.5 (0.8–2.4) 1.0 (0.8–1.2) | ELISA per 10,000NAT+ELISA per 10,0005.8 (5.2-6.6)2.4 (2.1-2.7)6.6 (6.1-7.2)2.7 (2.5-3.0)3.7 (3.0-4.8)1.5 (1.2-2.0)0.7 (0.5-0.9)0.3 (0.2-0.4)1.5 (0.8-2.4)0.6 (0.4-1.0)1.0 (0.8-1.2)0.4 (0.3-0.5) |

High infectious risk donors tend to have healthier kidneys

New HCV therapies – ++ high sustained virologic response rates = ↓ risk chronic HCV infection

TABLE 4. Risk per 10,000 donors of an HCV infection occurring during the window period, by ELISA and NAT

| Risk Category | ELISA per 10,000 | NAT and ELISA per 10,000 | Risk of window period infection for NAT and ELISA expressed as ratio |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Men who have sex with men | 14.3 (10.7–17.3) | 1.5 (1.1–1.8) | 1:6667 |
| Intravenous drug use | 377.4 (346.0-412.1) | 40.8 (37.4–44.6) | 1:245 |
| Commercial sex worker | 270.8 (242.6–298.9) | 29.1 (26.1–32.2) | 1:344 |
| Sex with a partner in above categories | 168.3 (157.7–191.4) | 18.0 (16.9–20.5) | 1:556 |
| Percutaneous injury resulting in HCV exposure through blood | 13.9 (2.9–44.6) | 1.4 (0.3–4.3) | 1:7143 |
| Incarcerated | 107.8 (102.4–116.7) | 11.5 (10.9–12.5) | 1:870 |

CST/CNTRP increased risk donor working group et al. Transplantation 98: 365, 2014

Timing of Post-Kidney Transplant Complications



Trends in Maintenance Immunosuppression



Samaniego M et al. Nat Rev Nephrol 2(12): 688-699, 2006

Mechanisms of Immunosuppression Inhibition T-cell signal 1 – interaction of TCR & APC



Mechanisms of Immunosuppression Anti-metabolites



Wiseman AC. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 11: 332-343, 2016

Increased Risk of Malignancy



CMV Viremia

Viral syndrome

- Fever, malaise, myalgias
- Leukopenia, thrombocytopenia & other lab abnormalities

Tissue invasive disease

- Hepatitis
- Pneumonitis
- Colitis
- Carditis
- Nephritis
- Pancreatitis
- Retinitis

| CMV | Risk | Valganciclovir prophylaxis |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| D+ R - | High | Yes |
| D+/- R + and thymo induction | High | Yes |
| D+/- R + and no thymo induction | Intermediate | Monitor |
| D - R - | Low | Monitor |

EBV Viremia & Post-Transplant Lymphoproliferative Disease

Table 1

World Health Organization Classification of Post-transplant Lymphoproliferative Disorder (PTLD)

| Category | Subtype |
|---|--|
| Early lesions | Plasmacytic hyperplasia Infectious mononucleosis-like lesion |
| Polymorphic PTLD Monomorphic PTLD (classify according to lymphoma they resemble) | B-cell neoplasms – Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma – Burkitt lymphoma – Plasma cell myeloma – Plasmacytoma-like lesion – Other ^a T-cell neoplasms – Peripheral T-cell lymphoma NOS – Hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma – Other |
| Classical Hodgkin lymphoma-type PTLD | cT1-2 gr 3 cT3-4 |

Table 2

Risk Factors for the Development of PTLD

| Risk Factor | Degree of Risk | Reference(s) |
|---|---|--------------|
| EBV seronegativity pretransplant | 24 × average risk | 11–13 |
| Younger age at transplantation | 4–8 × adult risk | 1,11 |
| Type of immune suppression – Tacrolimus – OKT3 and/or ATG | $2-5 \times risk$ with cyclosporine 3–4 × risk without these drugs | 1,16,17 1 |
| Type of organ transplant | | 9 |
| Kidney | 1%-3% of all transplant patients | 1 |
| Liver | 1%–3% of all transplant patients | - |
| Hear | 1%-6% of all transplant patients | |
| Heart-lung | 2%-6% of all transplant patients | |
| Lung | 4%-10% of all transplant patients | |
| Small bowel | 20% of all transplant patients | |
| Time from transplant < 1 year | 5–10 × risk at > 1 year | 1 |
| De novo CMV infection: CMV-positive recipient of a CMV-positive organ | 4–6 × risk of CMV-negative recipient | 21 |

BKV Viral Infection



NODAT – New-Onset Diabetes 2 After Transplant



Immunosuppression – Adverse Effects

Drug interactions:

- 1. Azathioprine / allopurinol
- 2. Tacrolimus multiple CYP3A4 interactions, examples include:
 - 1. Statins
 - 2. Antibiotics macrolides
- 3. Non-dihydropyridine CCB e.g. diltiazem
- 4. Antibiotics
- 5. Grapefruit juice

Transplant pharmacists – 204-787-3138

Please avoid NSAIDS...

Samaniego M et al. Nat Rev Nephrol 2(12): 688-699, 2006

Acute Kidney Injury in the Kidney Transplant Patient



Transplant Manitoba

Gift of Life

In addition to the usual suspects...



Primary Care of the Kidney Transplant Patient





Summary

- Kidney transplant is the therapy of choice for most patients with ESRD
 - Mortality benefit
 - Quality of life, cost-effective
- Transplant suitability is assessed through multiple domains to determine if the benefits of transplant outweigh the potential risks for that recipient.
- Modern maintenance immunosuppression consists of triple therapy with an anti-metabolite (MMF), CNI (tacrolimus) and prednisone.
- Common post-transplant complications infections, malignancy, DM etc.



Resources & Recommended Reading

- Canadian Society of Transplantation consensus guidelines on eligibility for kidney transplantation. CMAJ 173(10): S1
- KDIGO clinical practice guideline for the care of kidney transplant recipients: a summary. Kidney Int. 77: 299-311
- Immunosuppressive medications. CJASN 11(2): 332-43, 2016
- <u>www.transplantmanitoba.ca</u>
- <u>https://www.signupforlife.ca/</u>



