New Drugs (SGLT2 Inhibitors) for Heart Failure Management: Where Do They Fit In?

Ricky Turgeon BSc(Pharm), ACPR, PharmD

Assistant Professor – Greg Moore Professorship in Clinical & Community Cardiovascular Pharmacy | UBC Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences Clinical Pharmacy Specialist – PHARM-HF | St. Paul's Hospital Scientist | CHÉOS

ricky.turgeon@ubc.ca Twitter: @Ricky_Turgeon

Faculty/Presenter Disclosure

• Faculty: Ricky Turgeon

• Relationships with commercial interests:

- **Grants/Research Support:** Vancouver Coastal Health Research Institute-Research Challenge (work unrelated to this presentation).
- Speakers Bureau/Honoraria: None.
- Consulting Fees: None.
- Other: None.

Mitigating Potential Bias

- I will limit my discussion to pharmacotherapy that is supported by high-quality randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses
- I will explicitly state when use of therapy is considered off-label

Summary: SGLT2 inhibitors for heart failure

Sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors (SGLT2i) in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF):

- 1. \downarrow death & HF hospitalizations
- 2. Improve health-related quality of life (QoL)
- 3. Acutely \downarrow eGFR, but improve long-term kidney outcomes
- 4. Have similar efficacy/safety:
 - With or without T2DM
 - Regardless of other HF meds
 - With "low BP"
 - During/soon after acute HF

HF reduces quantity & quality of life



Eur J Heart Fail 2019;21:1306-25 Pharmacoeconomics 1999;16:247-71

Canadian HF guidelines 2017



Can J Cardiol 2017;33:1342-433 Can Pharm J 2019;152:301-16

Canadian HF guidelines 2020



Can J Cardiol 2017;33:1342-433 Can Pharm J 2019;152:301-16 Can J Cardiol 2020;36:159-69

HFrEF treatment in 2021



https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa1012

How did a diabetes drug become a HF drug?

All "thanks to" rosiglitazone

1999: Rosiglitazone approved based on glucose \downarrow

2007: Rosiglitazone \uparrow cardiovascular (CV) outcomes in meta-analyses

2008: FDA changed industry guidance

• Require large RCTs to prove new T2DM drugs don't increase CV outcomes vs placebo

2015: EMPA-REG trial

• Empagliflozin \downarrow CV outcomes (surprisingly, \downarrow HF hospitalizations)

\geq2017: Other RCTs showing SGLT2i \downarrow HF hospitalizations in T2DM

JACC 2018;72:1845-55

SGLT2i reduce HF hospitalization in T2DM

	Patients		Events	Events per patient-yea	1000 ars	Weight (%)	Н	IR		HR (95% CI)
	Treatment (n)	Placebo (n)		Treatment	Placebo					
Patients with history	of heart failure									
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	462	244	124	63.6	85.5	23.6	- <u>-</u>	+		0.72 (0.50-1.04)
CANVAS Program	803	658	203	35.4	56.8	34·1	_			0.61 (0.46-0.80)
DECLARE-TIMI 58	852	872	314	45.1	55.5	42·4				0.79 (0.63-0.99)
Fixed effects model for	or history of hea	rt failure (p<0	·0001)				\bullet			0.71 (0.61-0.84)
Patients with no hist	ory of heart failu	Jre								
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	4225	2089	339	15.5	24.9	30.0	·			0.63 (0.51-0.78)
CANVAS Program	4992	3689	449	13.6	15.2	32.4	·	-		0.87 (0.72-1.06)
DECLARE-TIMI 58	7730	7706	599	8.9	10.5	37.6				0.84 (0.72-0.99)
Fixed effects model for	or no history of l	heart failure (p	o<0·0001)				•			0.79 (0.71-0.88)
						0.35	0.50 1.0	00	2.50	
						- 55	←	\rightarrow		
							Favours treatment	Favours placebo		

... What about in HF patients +/- T2DM?

Lancet 2019;393:31-9

DAPA-HF

D	RCT with low overall risk of bias (allocation concealed, all blinded, loss to follow-up <0.8%, intention-to-treat analysis)
P n=4744	 Symptomatic HFrEF (HF with NYHA class 2-4, ejection fraction ≤40%) Elevated NT-proBNP +/- T2DM Max-tolerated background HFrEF therapy eGFR ≥30 mL/min/1.73m² & systolic BP ≥95 mm Hg
I	Dapagliflozin 10 mg once daily
C	Matching placebo
0	<u>Primary:</u> Cardiovascular death, HF hospitalization, or urgent visit for HF resulting in IV therapy

NEJM 2019;381:1995-2008

EMPEROR-Reduced

D	RCT with low overall risk of bias (allocation concealed, all blinded, loss to follow-up 1.1%, intention-to-treat analysis)
P n=3730	 Symptomatic HFrEF (HF with NYHA class 2-4, ejection fraction ≤40%) Elevated NT-proBNP +/- T2DM Max-tolerated background HFrEF therapy eGFR ≥20 mL/min/1.73m² & systolic BP ≥100 mm Hg
I	Empagliflozin 10 mg once daily
С	Matching placebo
0	Primary: Cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization

NEJM 2020;383:1413-24

DAPA-HF & EMPEROR-Reduced: Closer look at study population

	DAPA-HF	EMPEROR-Reduced
Demographics	66 y, male (77%)	67 y, male (76%)
NYHA class	2 (68%) , 3 (32%), 4 (<1%)	2 (75%) , 3 (24%), 4 (0.5%)
LVEF	31%	27%
NT-proBNP	~1400	~1900
T2DM	46%	50%
SBP	122	122
eGFR	66	62
ACEI/ARB/ARNI (ARNI)	94% (11%)	89% (19%)
Beta-blocker	96%	95%
MRA	71%	71%

NEJM 2019;381:1995-2008 NEJM 2020;383:1413-24

DAPA-HF & EMPEROR-Reduced: Safety outcomes

Absolute risk difference	DAPA-HF	EMPEROR-Reduced
Serious adverse events	↓ 4.5%	↓6.7%
Stop for adverse events	\leftrightarrow (~5% both groups)	-
Genital infections	-	↑1.1%
Volume depletion	↔ (~7-10%	both groups)
Amputation	↔ (~0.5% b	ooth groups)
Severe hypoglycemia	↔ (~0.3% k	ooth groups)
Diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA)	↔ (≤0.1% k	ooth groups)

NEJM 2019;381:1995-2008 NEJM 2020;383:1413-24

DAPA-HF & EMPEROR-Reduced Primary outcome

DAPA-HF



EMPEROR-Reduced

NEJM 2019;381:1995-2008 NEJM 2020;383:1413-24

Efficacy of SGLT2i in HFrEF with or without diabetes



JAMA 2020;323:1353-68

Efficacy of SGLT2i in HFrEF independent of A1c



JAMA 2020;323:1353-68 Circulation 2021;143:337-49

Meta-analysis of all-cause mortality



~1.6% absolute reduction

NNT=61 over 1.5 years

Lancet 2020;396:819-29

SGLT2i improve QoL (DAPA-HF)





NNT 15 (~7 in 100) for noticeable QoL improvement at 8 months

Circulation 2020;141:90-99

SGLT2i improve QoL within 3 months

DAPA-HF







Circulation 2020;141:90-99 Butler J, et al. Eur Heart J 2021;[online ahead of print]

SGLT2i acutely ↓ eGFR (usually ≤10%)

eGFR $\downarrow \leq 30\%$ are expected & not concerning (similar to ACEI/ARB)



Circulation 2021;143:209-309 NEJM 2020;383:1413-24

SGLT2i improve long-term kidney outcomes

Composite of \downarrow eGFR \geq 50%, end-stage renal disease, or renal death



~0.6% absolute reduction

NNT=167 over 1.5 years

Lancet 2020;396:819-29

SGLT2i efficacy & safety similar across subgroups

Irrespective of background HFrEF therapy

- Loop diuretic dose
- Triple therapy (ACEI/ARB + BB + MRA)
- Target dose of ACEI/ARB + BB
- Sacubitril-valsartan vs ACEI/ARB
- Device (ICD +/- cardiac resynchronization therapy)

Circulation 2020;142:1040-54 Eur Heart J 2020;41:2379-92 JACC Heart Fail 2020;8:811-8 Eur Heart J 2021;Jan 11;ehaa968

SGLT2i efficacy & safety similar across subgroups

- Asymptomatic hypotension
 - Baseline SBP as low as 95-100 mm Hg in DAPA-HF/EMPEROR-Reduced
- During HF hospitalization
 - Recurrent HF hospitalization NNT=7 in SOLOIST-WHF without symptomatic hypotension/AKI/DKA
- Duration of HF
 - From HF duration of 2 months to 5+ years in DAPA-HF



Eur Heart J 2020;41:3402-18 NEJM 2021;384:129-39 Circ Heart Fail. 2020;13:e007879

Practical tips for how to use SGLT2i in HFrEF

- 1. Save patients \$550/y by Rx'ing empagliflozin 12.5 mg daily
 - Empa 10 mg = 25 mg = dapa 10 mg = \$1100/y
- 2. Sick-day management education to minimize ketoacidosis risk
 - e.g. SADMANS Diabetes Canada handout
- 3. Consider reducing loop diuretic dose if euvolemic & low BP
 - If euvolemic: Empirically \downarrow furosemide by 20-40 mg (or 30-50%)
 - If volume depletion develops: \downarrow loop diuretic by 30-50%
- 4. Genital fungal infections \rightarrow topical antifungal/fluconazole
 - Uncommon (especially without T2DM): Incidence ~1%/year in EMPEROR-Reduced

Canadian HF Society (CHFS) SGLT2i prescribing guide

Practical approach to SGLT2 inhibitors for treatment of cardiovascular disease



https://heartfailure.ca/sites/default/files/chfs_practical_approach_algorithm_sglt2i_0.pdf

Bottom line: SGLT2i in HFrEF

\downarrow death & HF hospitalizations

Improve health-related QoL

Acutely \downarrow eGFR, but improve long-term kidney outcomes

Have similar efficacy/safety with/without T2DM, regardless of other HF meds, with "low BP", & can be started during/soon after acute HF

Per 100 treated for 1.5 years:

- 2 fewer deaths
- 4 fewer HF hospitalizations
- 7 more with clinically important QoL improvement
- 0.6 fewer renal events
- 15 fewer HF hospitalizations over 9 months if started during/shortly after HF hospitalization

Detailed summaries of the included studies

- DAPA-HF <u>nerdcat.org/studysummaries/dapa-hf</u>
- EMPEROR-Reduced <u>nerdcat.org/studysummaries/emperor-reduced</u>
- SOLOIST-WHF <u>nerdcat.org/studysummaries/soloist-whf</u>





Should a 'flozin be chosen to play a part for a failing heart? [coming soon]

Jamie Falk BSc(Pharm) PharmD Jennifer Potter MD CCFP Ricky D Turgeon BSc(Pharm) ACPR PharmD

Questions & Answers

Question cluster 1: When to start SGLT2i in the HFrEF medication sequence?

- Better to use low/sub-target doses of all 4 therapies (ACE/ARB/ARNI + BB + MRA + SGLT2i) vs target doses of 2-3 therapies?
- Better to start triple therapy prior to starting SGLT2 inhibitor?
- Better to optimize the doses of triple therapy prior to starting SGLT2i?
- Brand-new HFrEF admitted to our ward, what order of initiating HFrEF therapy would you go about it?

Answer 1: When to start SGLT2i in the HFrEF medication sequence?

My general approach is to initiate sequence as low-dose ACEI/ARB/ARNI + beta-blocker + MRA over 2 weeks, then start SGLT2i, & once on all 4, titrate

- e.g. ramipril 2.5 mg/d + bisoprolol 2.5 mg/d + spironolactone 12.5 mg/d started at once or sequentially over 2 weeks; then 2 weeks later start empagliflozin 12.5 mg/d
- Main considerations:
 - Benefit in HFrEF of start SGLT2i > titrating other therapies (PubMedID:30817783)
 - SGLT2i efficacy consistent regardless of background meds
 - SGLT2i adverse events similar to placebo (no increase in symptomatic hypotension, hyperkalemia)

Question 2:

What was the age distribution in these HFrEF trials? Is there benefit in very elderly/frail patients?

E And FF FF 64 65 74 and 75

- Mean age in the trials was ~66-67 years
- 2148/8474 (~25%) of patients ≥75 years old
- Similar efficacy regardless of age
 - No subgroup interaction by age
 - Relative risk reduction for primary outcome similar across age groups (see graph ->)

\square Age (<55, 55–64, 65–74, and 275 years)	Number with event/	number of patients (%)		HR (95% CI)
	SGLT2 inhibitor	Placebo		
Age <55 years				
EMPEROR-Reduced	25/121 (20.7)	36/162 (22.2)	_	0.93 (0.56–1.55)
DAPA-HF	52/340 (15.3)	53/296 (17.9)		0.87 (0.60-1.28)
Subtotal				0.89 (0.66-1.21)
Test for overall treatment effect p=0·46 Test for heterogeneity of effect p=0·84				
Age 55-64 years				
EMPEROR-Reduced	103/554 (18.6)	157/578 (27.2)		0.67 (0.52-0.86)
DAPA-HF	96/612 (15.7)	131/630 (20.8)		0.71 (0.55-0.93)
Subtotal				0.69 (0.57-0.83)
Test for overall treatment effect p<0·0001 Test for heterogeneity of effect p=0·75			•	
Age 65–74 years				
EMPEROR-Reduced	118/685 (17.2)	140/631 (22.2)		0.72 (0.57-0.93)
DAPA-HF	135/830 (16·3)	184/887 (20.7)		0.76 (0.61-0.95)
Subtotal				0.74 (0.63-0.87)
Test for overall treatment effect p=0·0004 Test for heterogeneity of effect p=0·75			•	
Age ≥75 years				
EMPEROR-Reduced	115/503 (22.9)	129/496 (26.0)		0.86 (0.67-1.10)
DAPA-HF	103/591 (17.4)	134/558 (24.0)	— — —	0.68 (0.53-0.88)
Subtotal				0.77 (0.64-0.92)
Test for overall treatment effect p=0·0033 Test for heterogeneity of effect p=0·19 Test for treatment by subgroup interaction p=	:0.54		•	
			0 075 100 1	

Lancet 2020;396:819-29

Question 3:

What were the exclusion criteria in DAPA-HF & EMPEROR-Reduced & how many were excluded?

DAPA-HF

- Key exclusion criteria: T1DM; symptomatic hypotension or SBP <95 mm Hg; eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73m²
- 42% excluded during screening (did not meet inclusion criteria – details not provided)

EMPEROR-Reduced

- Key exclusion criteria: T1DM; symptomatic hypotension or SBP <100 mm Hg; eGFR <20 mL/min/1.73m2
- 46% (3314/7220) excluded during screening
 - ~80% of these for not meeting NYHA, LVEF or NT-proBNP criteria

In US population-based study of patients hospitalized for HFrEF: 44% eligible for SGLT2i based on DAPA-HF inclusion/exclusion criteria

JAMA Cardiol. doi:10.1001/jamacardio.2020.5864

Question 4: Are high BNP/NT-proBNP levels important to select who benefits most?

- Both DAPA-HF & EMPEROR-Reduced required elevated natriuretic peptide for inclusion
 - DAPA-HF: NT-proBNP range >400-900 pg/mL depending on AF & HF hospitalization history
 - EMPEROR-Reduced: NT-proBNP ≥600-5000 depending on AF & LVEF
- Rationale: Used to select higher risk patients for the trial
 - 个BNP/NT-proBNP associated with 个death & HF hospitalization
 - But not the only determinant/predictor of risk, & risk of many patients without elevated NT-proBNP still high relative to non-HF patients
- Subgroup analysis showed similar efficacy in both trials across NT-proBNP range

Bottom line:

- BNP/NT-proBNP is a useful prognostic tool in HFrEF, but is only one piece in the puzzle to determine risk & potential benefit from medications.
- Don't use on its own for prognosis; consider along with other patient factors as part of a risk calculator (e.g. <u>http://ww2.bcnbiohfcalculator.org/web/en/disclaimer</u>)

Question 5: If starting SGLT2i in a patient on loop diuretic, would you decrease loop diuretic dose first, or only after monitoring?

- SGLT2i have a weak diuretic effect that is synergistic with loop diuretics
 - In HFrEF, may be limited to first 6-12 weeks
 - Long-term wt \downarrow 1 kg vs placebo, but most not due to fluid loss
- For most patients, no need to change loop diuretic before starting SGLT2i
 - In DAPA-HF & EMPEROR-Reduced:
 - No mandated change to loop diuretic
 - No difference vs placebo in % of patients requiring change to loop diuretic or mean loop diuretic dose over time

My approach:

- No empiric change to loop diuretic dose when starting SGLT2i
- Regardless: Follow-up at 1-2 weeks to assess symptoms & volume status
- If volume depletion develops: \downarrow loop diuretic by $\leq 50\%$ (usually $\downarrow 20-40$ mg/d)

Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol;doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30382-X Circulation. 2020;142:1028–39 Circulation. 2020;142:1040-54

Question 6: If patient experiences euglycemic DKA / genital mycotic infection / UTI with SGLT2i, would you ever re-challenge?

Prior ketoacidosis with SGLT2i:

- No evidence zone (as far as I'm aware); most ketoacidosis with SGLT2i associated with 1 or more reversible factors
- My approach: Rechallenge if possible to correct reversible factors (acute illness, hypovolemia, surgery, insufficient insulin if diabetes) & ensure sick-day management

Genital mycotic infection/UTI with SGLT2i:

- Genital mycotic infection recurrence uncommon following 1st episode with SGLT2i (especially without diabetes)
- Overall evidence unclear if SGLT2i increase UTI risk
- My approach: Continue/restart SGLT2i & treat (infrequent) recurrences with antiinfectives, unless systemic infection

CMAJ 2018;190:E766-E768 Ann Pharmacother 2020; https://doi.org/10.1177/1060028020951928

Question 7: Can we break empagliflozin 25-mg tablets (or other SGLT2i tablets)?

- All SGLT2i available as sugar-coated tablets without any biopharmaceutical concerns
 - Product monographs may state not to split/crush; however, no formulation-specific concerns of doing so

Bottom line: Splitting empagliflozin tablets feasible & safe

Pill splitting: Making the most of meds in a time of need. Therapeutics letter March 2020 <u>https://www.ti.ubc.ca/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/TL-SE.pdf</u>

Bonus slides

Clinically important difference in HF-specific QoL: Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ)



J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76:2379-90

KCCQ: Interpreting change



J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76:2379-90

DAPA-HF: Efficacy independent of baseline BP (if anything, lower BP is marker of higher risk)



Primary outcome

Eur Heart J 2020;41:3402-18

SOLOIST-WHF

D	RCT with low overall risk of bias (allocation concealed, all blinded, loss to follow-up ~3%, intention-to-treat analysis)
P n=4744	 Acute HF: During admission to ≤3 days from discharge Any ejection fraction (mean 35%; 21% had LVEF ≥50%) T2DM (mean HbA1c 7.1%) Age 70 y, 76% male Mean SBP 122 mm Hg, eGFR 50 ACEI/ARB/ARNI 97%, beta-blocker 92%, MRA 65%
L	Sotagliflozin 200-400 mg once daily
С	Matching placebo
0	Primary: CV death or HF hospitalization

NEJM 2021;384:129-39

SOLOIST-WHF results



	Absolute risk difference
Diarrhea	↑2.7%
Severe hypoglycemia	↑1.2%
Hypotension	
Acute kidney injury	\leftrightarrow
DKA	

NEJM 2021;384:129-39



SGLT2i & amputations

Diabetes Obes Metab 2020;22:2348-55

SGLT2i & amputations



Diabetes Obes Metab 2020;22:2348-55

DAPA-CKD

D	RCT with low overall risk of bias (allocation concealed, all blinded, loss to follow-up 0.1%, intention-to-treat analysis)
P n=4304	 eGFR 25-75 mL/min/1.73m² + uACR 200-5000 mg/g +/- T2DM Stable ACEI/ARB ≥4 weeks or intolerant of ACEI/ARB
I	Dapagliflozin 10 mg once daily
С	Matching placebo
0	<u>Primary:</u> Sustained ↓eGFR by ≥50%, ESRD, renal/CV death • ↓5.3% (NNT=19); HR 0.61 (0.51-0.72)
Т	Median 2.4 years

NEJM 2020;383:1436-46



DAPA-CKD

NEJM 2020;383:1436-46

DAPA-HF vs DAPA-CKD

DAPA-HF

CV death, HF hospitalization, or urgent visit for HF resulting in IV therapy



DAPA-CKD

CV death or HF hospitalization



NEJM 2019;381:1995-2008 NEJM 2020;383:1436-46