# ATRIAL FIBRILLATION ABLATION

Clarence Khoo, MD FRCPC Cardiology Day 25 September, 2021



#### Faculty/Presenter Disclosure

- Faculty: Clarence Khoo
- No financial disclosures or conflicts to declare.



### Objectives

- 1. Understand the current hypotheses underlying the initiation and propagation of atrial fibrillation
- 2. Appreciate the rationale for existing techniques of atrial fibrillation ablation
- 3. Explore the role of differing methods of energy delivery in atrial fibrillation ablation
- 4. Touch on controversies and potential future directions with the ablation of atrial fibrillation







CCS AF Guidelines 2020.



University Manitoba

CCS AF Guidelines 2020.

### Goals of AF Management

#### Rate Control

- Improve symptoms
- Improve clinical outcomes

#### Rhythm Control

- Improve symptoms
- Improve clinical outcomes
- Does not require elimination of AF



CCS AF Guidelines 2010: Rate and Rhythm Management

#### Rate Control

Rhythm Control



### **Rhythm Control Options**





CCS AF Guidelines Update 2011: Rate and Rhythm Management

Favours Rate Control	Favours Rhythm Control
Persistent AF	Paroxysmal or New AF
Less symptomatic	More symptomatic
Age $\geq$ 65	Age < 65
Hypertension	No hypertension
No CHF	CHF worsened by AF
Antiarrhythmic drug failure	No previous antiarrhythmic drug failure
Patient preference	Patient preference



## **Classification of Atrial Fibrillation**

Atrial Fibrillation Episode

- AF documented by ECG monitoring of  $\geq$  30 seconds, or continuous throughout ECG tracing





HRS/EHRA/ECAS 2012 AF Consensus Statement

## CASE #1

#### Case #1

■ 46F presents to your office complaining of palpitations.

- She reports palpitations once a week, associated with feeling fatigued and short of breath. They last about 3 4 hours before they go away spontaneously.
- Medications include OCP.
- BP 114/80 mmHg, HR BPM and regular.
- An ECG is obtained.





#### Case #1

Past medical history significant for previous ablation for SVT.

■ Is able to go to get an ECG performed when she has another episode.





#### Case #1

CBC/Lytes/Creat normal. TSH normal

Echo:

- LVEF 60%.
- Left atrium 35 mm.
- Holter:
  - Sinus rhythm with frequent PAC's (2%). Short runs of atrial ectopy (longest 10 beats long at 135 BPM).



# GOOD CANDIDATE FOR ABLATION?



### **Possible Source of Atrial Tachycardias**

#### Site of atrial tachycardia:

- Pulmonary veins
- Crista terminalis
- Coronary sinus
- Posterior left atrium
- Superior vena cava
- Left atrial appendage
- Ligament of Marshall
- Often require 3D electroanatomical mapping to identify precise site of atrial tachycardia.





#### SVT's as a trigger for AF



- Atrial flutter and re-entrant SVT's (especially AVRT) may initiate AF
- Ablation of these arrhythmias may reduce the risk of further AF



Issa et al., Clinical Arrhythmology & Electrophysiology.

### Ablation of Atrial Flutter

- Typical atrial flutter is a fairly fixed circuit that traverses the cavotricuspid isthmus (CTI)
  - Bridge of tissue in the RA bound anteriorly by the tricuspid valve and posteriorly by the IVC.

	II, III, aVF	V1
Typical (CTI, counterclockwise)	-	+
Reverse Typical (CTI, clockwise)	+	-
Non-CTI dependent	+	+
Non-CTI dependent	_	-





### Ablation of Atrial Flutter

- If CTI-dependent, success rate is high:
  - Acute success 97%
  - 2 year success 90%
- If not CTI-dependent, procedure is more challenging, with lower success rates:
  - Up to 53% recurrence rates
- Ablation of CTI dependent flutter is therefore first-line if symptomatic or refractory to drug therapy (Class I indication).



Glover et al., EP Europace 2016; 18(12): 1880 - 5



# ATRIAL FIBRILLATION ABLATION

## Triggers of AF



- Haïssaguerre et al. (1998)
  - Triggers located deep within pulmonary veins (PV)
  - Ablation of these foci resulted in elimination of AF at a median of 7 months of follow-up in 62% (28/38 patients)

# Why are Pulmonary Veins potential triggers?

Pulmonary veins share the same embryologic origin as other conduction tissues – atrial muscle extends into the PV's







Sánchez-Quintana et al., J Cardiovasc Trans Res 2013, 6: 124-44.

#### Strategies for Catheter Ablation



- Wide circumferential ablation around PV's is most commonly performed procedure
  - Electrically isolates PV's and other potential sources
     → eliminates triggers
  - 2. Modification of substrate around PV's
  - 3. Debulking of atrial mass
  - 4. Interruption of ganglionated plexi

Calkins et al., Europace 2012; 14: 528 – 606.

#### What we look for in a PVI





## Wide Antral Circumferential Atrial Ablation - Radiofrequency ablation

Stage: Baseli



#### Cryoballoon for AF Ablation



iversity

 Inflatable balloon designed to wedge into pulmonary veins and deliver cryoablation to the antrum of the veins

 Liquid N<sub>2</sub>O is delivered through a completely contained circuit into the balloon resulting in rapid cooling of the balloon and the surrounding tissue

#### **Deployment of Cryoballoon**

1. Access targeted vein





2. Inflate and position

3. Occlude and ablate





4. Assess PVI



Slide Courtesy of Medtronic Arctic Front Materials

#### Fire & Ice Trial

- 762 patients with paroxysmal AF randomised to cryoballoon vs. RF ablation, followed for 1.5 years
  - Non-inferiority study, primary endpoint of time to first documented clinical failure (recurrence of atrial tachyarrhythmia, use of antiarrhythmic drugs or repeat ablation)



Kuck et al., N Engl J Med 2016; 374: 2235 – 45.

#### Fire & Ice Trial

#### A Primary Efficacy End Point

RFC

niversity



374

- No significant difference in primary end point or safety
- Shorter procedure time and left atrial dwell time with cryoballoon; longer fluoro time

Kuck et al., N Engl J Med 2016; 374: 2235 – 45.

#### **Risks and Benefits of AF Ablation**

70 - 80% freedom from AF within 1<sup>st</sup> year

# Acute complication rate: 2.9%

- Vascular: 1.4%
- Tamponade: 1.0%
- Stroke/TIA: 0.6%
- PV stenosis: 0.5%
- Phrenic nerve injury: 0.4%
- Atrioesophageal fistula: 0.08%
- Death: 0.06%

#### The Evolution of AF Ablation



# AF ABLATION – A WORK IN PROGRESS

## AF Ablation & Quality of Life

	Mean (SD)					
	Pulmonary Vein Isolation Group (n = 32)		Antiarrhythmic Drug Group (n = 35)			_
Short-Form 36 Subscale	Baseline	Follow-up	Baseline	Follow-up	Corrected Difference in Mean Change at 6 mo (95% Cl)	P Value
General health	57 (2)	9 (1)	57 (2)	68 (2)	11 (8 to 14)	<.001
Physical functioning	71 (3)	97 (3)	69 (2)	75 (7.5)	20 (13.2 to 24.2)	.001
Role physical	73 (5)	71 (2)	51 (5)	53 (3)	14.9 (9.9 to 19.9)	.047
Bodily pain	71 (3)	97 (1)	70 (3)	90 (3)	6 (1.5 to 9.5)	.004
Mental health	65 (4)	65 (2)	64 (2)	68 (3)	-4 (-3.5 to -7.5)	.62
Social functioning	78 (3)	93 (3)	76 (3)	82 (2)	9 (7.5 to 11.5)	.004
Role emotional	70 (1)	76 (1)	70 (1)	75 (1)	1 (-4.0 to 4.3)	.90
Vitality	52 (4)	65 (1)	51 (1)	60 (2)	4 (1.7 to 5.7)	.21

■ Single-centre study comparing first-line AF ablation vs. drug therapy

• 6 month QoL improvement significantly better in ablation group



Wazni et al., JAMA 293: 2634 – 40.

#### CABANA

- Multicenter RCT randomising 2204 patients with AF to AF ablation vs. antiarrhythmic drugs alone.
- Primary composite endpoint of death, stroke, serious bleeding, cardiac arrest.
- Crossover was not insubstantial:
  - 9.2% of ablation arm did not receive ablation
  - 27.5% of drug arm received an ablation



#### Is it better to intervene earlier?

- EARLY-AF trial
- 303 patients with symptomatic PAF, no therapy, randomised to either cryoballoon ablation vs. antiarrhythmics.
- Reduction in 1 year recurrence of atrial tachyarrhythmia (HR 0.39, 95% CI 0.22 – 0.68).
- Adverse events 3.2% of ablation, 4.0% of antiarrhythmics.





#### Who to refer for Ablation of AF?

#### RECOMMENDATION

We recommend radiofrequency ablation of AF in patients who remain symptomatic following adequate trials of antiarrhythmic drug therapy and in whom a rhythm-control strategy remains desired (Strong Recommendation, Moderate-Quality Evidence).

**Values and preferences.** This recommendation places a high value on the decision of individual patients to balance relief of symptoms and improvement in QOL with the small but measurable risk of serious complication with catheter ablation.



CCS AF Guidelines 2010: Rate and Rhythm Management

#### **CCS-SAF Score**

CCS SAF score	Effect on quality of life
Class 0	Asymptomatic with respect to AF
Class 1	Symptoms attributable to AF have minimal effect on patient's general quality of life: • minimal and/or infrequent symptoms, or • single episode of AF without syncope or heart failure
Class 2	Symptoms attributable to AF have a minor effect on patient's general quality of life: • mild awareness of symptoms in patients with persistent/permanent AF, or • rare episodes (eg, less than a few per year) in patients with paroxysmal or intermittent AF
Class 3	Symptoms attributable to AF have a moderate effect on patient's general quality of life: • moderate awareness of symptoms on most days in patients with persistent/permanent AF, or • more common episodes (eg, more than every few months) or more severe symptoms, or both, in patients with paroxysmal or intermittent AF
Class 4	Symptoms attributable to AF have a severe effect on patient's general quality of life: • very unpleasant symptoms in patients with persistent/paroxysmal AF, and/or • frequent and highly symptomatic episodes in patients with paroxysmal or intermittent AF, and/or • syncope thought to be due to AF, and/or • congestive heart failure secondary to AF
Manitoba	CCS AE Guidelines 2020

#### Take-Aways

- Know the difference between atrial flutter and atrial fibrillation. Have a <u>low threshold</u> for referral of atrial flutter ablation.
- Rhythm control strategy (including AF ablation) is beneficial largely for <u>symptom benefit</u>. Be familiar with the CCS-SAF score.
- AF ablation 60 80% suppression of AF within 1 year, but reduces to 50% in 5 years.
  3 4% risk of complications (some can be fatal).
- AF ablation should be reserved for <u>young, highly-symptomatic, AF patients who are not</u> <u>coping well with medical therapy.</u>



## QUESTIONS?