



Morden/Winkler Community Based CPD Program

17 - 17 Nov 2022

Poll results

Table of contents

Participant Check-In

- Registered participants, please check in by typing your FIRST and LAST NAME here:

Welcome

- Please tell us about yourself

1000 - Management of neonatal hypoglycemia

- Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation

1030 - Neonatal Abstinence syndrome

- Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation

1100 - Stabilization of preterm babies/ DCC /golden hour concept

- Dr. Molly Seshia - Speaker evaluation

1130 - Stabilization of babies with HIE insult/NB with delayed transition

- Dr. Mansoor Farooqui - Speaker evaluation

1330 - Endotracheal intubation

- Dr. Assem Lashin - Speaker evaluation

Table of contents

1400 - NRP megacode for Preterm baby

- Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation

1430 - PPV using T-piece/self-inflating/flow-inflating bags

- Ron Heese - Speaker evaluation

1500 - Umbilical Venous Catheterization

- Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation

Overall Event

- November 17, 2022 session evaluation

Welcome

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 1 3

Please indicate your profession:
(1/4)

Family Physician



Specialist Physician

0 %

Pharmacist - Community

0 %

Pharmacist - Hospital

0 %

Pharmacist - Long term care

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 1 3

Please indicate your profession:
(2/4)

Resident/Student



Physician Assistant



Nurse Practitioner



Nurse



Social Worker



Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 1 3

Please indicate your profession:
(3/4)

Dietitian

0 %

Occupational Therapist

0 %

Physiotherapist

0 %

Manager/Administrator

0 %

Other Health Professional

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 1 3

Please indicate your profession:
(4/4)

Non-health Professional

0 %

Other

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (2/6)

0 1 3

Please indicate your years in practice:

0 to 5 years



6 - 10 years



11 - 20 years



21 years or more



No current practice



Please tell us about yourself (3/6)

0 1 2

What is your primary practice location?

Urban



Rural



Northern/remote



Please tell us about yourself (4/6)

0 1 3

You identify your gender as:

Female



Male



Prefer not to say



Prefer to self describe



Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

0 1 3

What is your age?

(1/2)

<30 years



31-40 years



41-50 years



51-60 years



61-70 years



Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

0 1 3

What is your age?

(2/2)

71 years or older



1000 - Management of neonatal hypoglycemia

Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

005

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

005

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

005

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

005

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

80 %

Disagree

20 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Slow down



Speed up



Increase size of text on slides



Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly



Increase interactivity



Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail



Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

0 %

Other

0 %

1030 - Neonatal Abstinence syndrome

Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 4

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 4

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

0 0 4

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 4

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

75 %

Disagree

25 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Slow down



Speed up



Increase size of text on slides



Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly



Increase interactivity



Dr. Ayman Sheta - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

67 %

Other

0 %

**1100 - Stabilization of preterm babies/
DCC /golden hour concept**

Dr. Molly Seshia - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 3

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Molly Seshia - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 3

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Molly Seshia - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

003

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Molly Seshia - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

003

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Molly Seshia - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

003

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Dr. Molly Seshia - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 3

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Molly Seshia - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 2

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Dr. Molly Seshia - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 2

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

1130 - Stabilization of babies with HIE insult/NB with delayed transition

Dr. Mansoor Farooqui - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

003

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Mansoor Farooqui - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

003

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Mansoor Farooqui - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

003

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Mansoor Farooqui - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

003

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Mansoor Farooqui - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

003

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Dr. Mansoor Farooqui - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 3

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Mansoor Farooqui - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 2

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down



Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Dr. Mansoor Farooqui - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

002

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

50 %

Other

0 %

1330 - Endotracheal intubation

Dr. Assem Lashin - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 1

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Assem Lashin - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 1

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Assem Lashin - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

0 0 1

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Assem Lashin - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 1

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Assem Lashin - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Dr. Assem Lashin - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Assem Lashin - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Dr. Assem Lashin - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

1400 - NRP megacode for Preterm baby

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 1

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 1

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

0 0 1

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 1

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well

0 %

Clear and organized slides/materials

0 %

Incorporated interactivity

100 %

Engaging style of presentation

0 %

Highlighted key points

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

1430 - PPV using T-piece/self-inflating/flow-inflating bags

Ron Heese - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 1

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Ron Heese - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 1

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Ron Heese - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

0 0 1

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Ron Heese - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 1

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Ron Heese - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials

0 %

Incorporated interactivity

0 %

Engaging style of presentation

0 %

Highlighted key points

0 %

Ron Heese - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Ron Heese - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Ron Heese - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

1500 - Umbilical Venous Catheterization

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 1

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 1

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

0 0 1

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 1

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well

0 %

Clear and organized slides/materials

0 %

Incorporated interactivity

100 %

Engaging style of presentation

100 %

Highlighted key points

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Dr. Wail Seleem - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

Overall Event

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (1/19)

002

The program met the stated objectives

Strongly agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



November 17, 2022 session evaluation (2/19)

002

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program? (Select all that apply)

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other

0 %

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (4/19)

002

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (5/19)

0 0 2

The content of this program was evidence-based.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (6/19)

002

The program content enhanced my knowledge.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



November 17, 2022 session evaluation (7/19)

002

I will use the information I learned today in my practice.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (8/19)

002

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Not applicable to me/ Not sure

0 %

Medical Expert

100 %

Scholar

50 %

Collaborator

0 %

Communicator

0 %

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (8/19)

002

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Health advocate

0 %

Leader

50 %

Professional

0 %

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (9/19)

0 0 1

What was the most important knowledge, skill, or attitude you acquired in this session?

- Update on UVC

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (10/19)

0 0 1

Please indicate any changes that you plan to make in your practice as a result of participating in this program.

- be prepared

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (11/19)

0 0 1

What barriers might stop you from making the above changes to your practice?

- /

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (12/19)

0 0 1

Do you think these changes will affect patient outcomes? If yes, in what way(s)?

- /

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (13/19)

0 0 1

How could the program be improved?

- Would make sense to incorporate with NRP

November 17, 2022 session evaluation (15/19)

002

Did you find that the program today aligned with our mission statement?

Yes



No



November 17, 2022 session evaluation (17/19)

002

Did you feel that this event was inclusive?

Yes



No

0 %

Not sure

0 %



Morden/Winkler Community Based CPD Program

08 - 08 Dec 2022

Poll results

Table of contents

Participant Check-In

- Registered participants, please check in by typing your FIRST and LAST NAME here:

Welcome

- Please tell us about yourself

1000 - Diabetic feet

- Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation

1030 - Same day joints/ordering MRIs

- Dr. Jacalyn Thoren - Speaker evaluation

1115 - Diagnosis of upper and lower extremity radiculopathy and common entrapment neuropathy

- Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation

1330 - Hypertensive crisis

- Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation

1430 - Updated lipid guidelines

- Dr. Joel Scott-Herridge - Speaker evaluation

Table of contents

Overall Event

- December 8, 2022 session evaluation

Participant Check-In

Registered participants, please check in by typing your **FIRST** and **LAST NAME** here:

0 2 3

- Etienne van Niekerk
- Dan Hunt
- Jocelyne Rondeau
- jhon jackson
- Philip Fourie
- Brian Duff
- Jason Unger
- Christiaan Dreyer
- Leanne Nause
- Kevin Earl
- Jason Zhang (host)
- Carol Holmes
- John Jackson
- Jennifer Holmberg
- matthew brett
- Lydia Czegledi
- Dave Chudley
- Melissa Caswill
- David Martens
- Chandy Jacob
- Eric Lane
- Kathryn (Kaytey) Martin
- Dr. Chandy Jacob

Welcome

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

006

Please indicate your profession:
(1/4)

Family Physician



Specialist Physician

0 %

Pharmacist - Community

0 %

Pharmacist - Hospital

0 %

Pharmacist - Long term care

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

006

Please indicate your profession:
(2/4)

Resident/Student

17 %

Physician Assistant

0 %

Nurse Practitioner

0 %

Nurse

0 %

Social Worker

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

006

Please indicate your profession:
(3/4)

Dietitian

0 %

Occupational Therapist

0 %

Physiotherapist

0 %

Manager/Administrator

0 %

Other Health Professional

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 0 6

Please indicate your profession:
(4/4)

Non-health Professional

0 %

Other

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (2/6)

006

Please indicate your years in practice:

0 to 5 years



6 - 10 years



11 - 20 years



21 years or more



No current practice



Please tell us about yourself (3/6)

0 0 6

What is your primary practice location?

Urban

0 %

Rural

100 %

Northern/remote

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (4/6)

006

You identify your gender as:

Female



Male



Prefer not to say



Prefer to self describe



Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

006

What is your age?

(1/2)

<30 years

0 %

31-40 years

33 %

41-50 years

17 %

51-60 years

17 %

61-70 years

17 %

Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

006

What is your age?

(2/2)

71 years or older



1000 - Diabetic feet

Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

008

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 8

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

008

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

008

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

008

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 8

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation (7/10)

0 0 1

If Other, please explain:

- Loved the photos

Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

006

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

006

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

Dr. Alexis Marshall - Speaker evaluation (10/10)

0 0 1

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments on this session.

- Very valuable

1030 - Same day joints/ordering MRIs

Dr. Jacalyn Thoren - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

008

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Jacalyn Thoren - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

008

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Jacalyn Thoren - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

008

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Jacalyn Thoren - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

008

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Jacalyn Thoren - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

007

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Dr. Jacalyn Thoren - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 7

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Jacalyn Thoren - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

006

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Dr. Jacalyn Thoren - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

006

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

Dr. Jacalyn Thoren - Speaker evaluation (10/10)

0 0 1

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments on this session.

- Very well done

**1115 - Diagnosis of upper and lower
extremity radiculopathy and common
entrapment neuropathy**

Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

007

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 7

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

007

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (4/10)

0 0 1

If Other, please explain:

- Limited by zoom

Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

006

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

006

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 6

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (9/10)

0 0 2

If Other, please explain:

- More cases for example/work through
- Well done

Dr. Ans Sabzwari - Speaker evaluation (10/10)

003

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments on this session.

- Well done
- Excellent presentation.
- Great presenter- could be used for more presentations

1330 - Hypertensive crisis

Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

007

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

007

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

007

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

007

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

007

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

007

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other



Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

80 %

Other

20 %

Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation (9/10)

0 0 2

If Other, please explain:

- Well done
- Like cases , having more urgent ones on office approach treatment

Dr. Christopher Parr - Speaker evaluation (10/10)

0 0 1

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments on this session.

- Well done

1430 - Updated lipid guidelines

Dr. Joel Scott-Herridge - Speaker evaluation (1/10)

006

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Joel Scott-Herridge - Speaker evaluation (2/10)

006

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Joel Scott-Herridge - Speaker evaluation (3/10)

006

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Dr. Joel Scott-Herridge - Speaker evaluation (5/10)

006

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Dr. Joel Scott-Herridge - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Dr. Joel Scott-Herridge - Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Dr. Joel Scott-Herridge - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

25 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Dr. Joel Scott-Herridge - Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

75 %

Other

0 %

Dr. Joel Scott-Herridge - Speaker evaluation (10/10)

0 0 1

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments on this session.

- Well done

Overall Event

December 8, 2022 session evaluation (1/19)

005

The program met the stated objectives

Strongly agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



December 8, 2022 session evaluation (2/19)

005

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program? (Select all that apply)

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other

0 %

December 8, 2022 session evaluation (4/19)

005

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



December 8, 2022 session evaluation (5/19)

005

The content of this program was evidence-based.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



December 8, 2022 session evaluation (6/19)

005

The program content enhanced my knowledge.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



December 8, 2022 session evaluation (7/19)

005

I will use the information I learned today in my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



December 8, 2022 session evaluation (8/19)

005

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Not applicable to me/ Not sure



Medical Expert



Scholar



Collaborator



Communicator



December 8, 2022 session evaluation (8/19)

005

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Health advocate



Leader



Professional



December 8, 2022 session evaluation (9/19)

002

What was the most important knowledge, skill, or attitude you acquired in this session?

- Lipids and hypertensive crisis management
- Updated lipid guidelines

December 8, 2022 session evaluation (10/19)

003

Please indicate any changes that you plan to make in your practice as a result of participating in this program.

- As above taking care to properly treat the patients
- Better job of screening feet
- Pay more attention to triglycerides & non HDL cholesterol levels

December 8, 2022 session evaluation (11/19)

003

What barriers might stop you from making the above changes to your practice?

- Nothing
- Time consuming, too many other issues usually
- It will remain difficult to get patients to accept statin medication.

December 8, 2022 session evaluation (12/19)

0 0 2

Do you think these changes will affect patient outcomes? If yes, in what way(s)?

- Yes more positive outcomes
- Yes. Prevent foot ulcers

December 8, 2022 session evaluation (13/19)

0 0 1

How could the program be improved?

- Good program

December 8, 2022 session evaluation (15/19)

005

Did you find that the program today aligned with our mission statement?

Yes



No



December 8, 2022 session evaluation (17/19)

005

Did you feel that this event was inclusive?

Yes



No



Not sure





Morden/Winkler Community Based CPD Program

12 - 12 Jan 2023

Poll results

Table of contents

Participant Check-In

- Registered participants, please check in by typing your FIRST and LAST NAME here:

Welcome

- Please tell us about yourself

Dr. Mandy Buss - Indigenous Health

- Speaker evaluation

Dr. Fiona Vickers - Indigenous Health

- Speaker evaluation

Overall Event

- January 12, 2023 session evaluation

Participant Check-In

Registered participants, please check in by typing your FIRST and LAST NAME here:

0 1 4

- Rachel Wiens
- Melissa Caswill
- Leanne Nause
- matthew brett
- Christiaan Dreyer
- Jason Unger
- Sherwin Gacutan
- Jordan Perillo
- Brian Duff
- Kevin Earl
- Carol Holmes
- john jackson
- Eric Lane
- Kathryn (kaytey) Martin

Welcome

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

006

Please indicate your profession:
(1/4)

Family Physician



Specialist Physician

0 %

Pharmacist - Community

0 %

Pharmacist - Hospital

0 %

Pharmacist - Long term care

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

006

Please indicate your profession:
(2/4)

Resident/Student

17 %

Physician Assistant

0 %

Nurse Practitioner

0 %

Nurse

0 %

Social Worker

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

006

Please indicate your profession:
(3/4)

Dietitian

0 %

Occupational Therapist

0 %

Physiotherapist

0 %

Manager/Administrator

0 %

Other Health Professional

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

006

Please indicate your profession:
(4/4)

Non-health Professional

0 %

Other

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (2/6)

006

Please indicate your years in practice:

0 to 5 years

0 %

6 - 10 years

0 %

11 - 20 years

33 %

21 years or more

50 %

No current practice

17 %

Please tell us about yourself (3/6)

006

What is your primary practice location?

Urban



Rural



Northern/remote



Please tell us about yourself (4/6)

006

You identify your gender as:

Female



Male



Prefer not to say



Prefer to self describe



Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

006

What is your age?

(1/2)

<30 years



31-40 years



41-50 years



51-60 years



61-70 years



Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

006

What is your age?

(2/2)

71 years or older



Dr. Mandy Buss - Indigenous Health

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

005

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

005

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

005

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

20 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

005

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

003

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity

0 %

Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

003

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other



Speaker evaluation (7/10)

0 0 1

If Other, please explain:

- Hopefully made people think

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (10/10)

002

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments on this session.

- I tried to find objective of talk but could not find this online, speaker quickly went over that slide , likely did cover these but cannot tell, would have chosen unsure
- Racism is actually just a personal bias and like any bias needs to be looked at objectively by the biased and the biased against, may or may not result in emotional responses, which may be difficult to do.

Dr. Fiona Vickers - Indigenous Health

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 4

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

005

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

005

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

20 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

004

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

75 %

Disagree

25 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (10/10)

0 0 1

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments on this session.

- I found insulting that the speaker would think some of us would not know who Brian Sinclair was

Overall Event

January 12, 2023 session evaluation (1/19)

003

The program met the stated objectives

Strongly agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



January 12, 2023 session evaluation (2/19)

003

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program? (Select all that apply)

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions



Yes - Other

0 %

January 12, 2023 session evaluation (4/19)

003

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

67 %

Disagree

33 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

January 12, 2023 session evaluation (5/19)

003

The content of this program was evidence-based.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

67 %

Disagree

33 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

January 12, 2023 session evaluation (6/19)

003

The program content enhanced my knowledge.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

January 12, 2023 session evaluation (7/19)

003

I will use the information I learned today in my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



January 12, 2023 session evaluation (8/19)

003

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Not applicable to me/ Not sure



Medical Expert



Scholar



Collaborator



Communicator



January 12, 2023 session evaluation (8/19)

003

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Health advocate



Leader



Professional



January 12, 2023 session evaluation (9/19)

002

What was the most important knowledge, skill, or attitude you acquired in this session?

- Ways to address uncomfortable/inappropriate comments or beliefs that signify racism.
- the importance of open communication and being open to feedback

January 12, 2023 session evaluation (12/19)

0 0 1

Do you think these changes will affect patient outcomes? If yes, in what way(s)?

- Yes.

January 12, 2023 session evaluation (15/19)

003

Did you find that the program today aligned with our mission statement?

Yes



No



January 12, 2023 session evaluation (17/19)

003

Did you feel that this event was inclusive?

Yes



No



Not sure





Morden/Winkler Community Based CPD Program

09 - 09 Feb 2023

Poll results

Table of contents

Participant Check-In

- Registered participants, please check in by typing your FIRST and LAST NAME here:

Welcome

- Please tell us about yourself

Dr. Terry McCormack - Entrada tips & tricks

- Speaker evaluation

Dr. Paul Komenda - Topics in Nephrology

- Speaker evaluation

Overall Event

- February 9, 2023 session evaluation

Participant Check-In

Registered participants, please check in by typing your FIRST and LAST NAME here:

0 1 4

- Melissa caswill
- Jocelyne Rondeau
- Leanne Nause
- Brian Duff
- Amanda Bergman
- John Jackson
- Christiaan Dreyer
- Kevin Earl
- matthew brett
- Jason Unger
- Carol Holmes
- Jason Zhang
- Eric Lane
- Jordan Kroeker

Welcome

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

006

Please indicate your profession:
(1/4)

Family Physician



Specialist Physician

0 %

Pharmacist - Community

0 %

Pharmacist - Hospital

0 %

Pharmacist - Long term care

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 0 6

Please indicate your profession:
(2/4)

Resident/Student

0 %

Physician Assistant

0 %

Nurse Practitioner

0 %

Nurse

0 %

Social Worker

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

006

Please indicate your profession:
(3/4)

Dietitian

0 %

Occupational Therapist

0 %

Physiotherapist

0 %

Manager/Administrator

0 %

Other Health Professional

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 0 6

Please indicate your profession:
(4/4)

Non-health Professional

0 %

Other

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (2/6)

006

Please indicate your years in practice:

0 to 5 years

● 0 %

6 - 10 years

■ 17 %

11 - 20 years

■ 17 %

21 years or more

■ 67 %

No current practice

● 0 %

Please tell us about yourself (3/6)

006

What is your primary practice location?

Urban

0 %

Rural

100 %

Northern/remote

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (4/6)

006

You identify your gender as:

Female



Male



Prefer not to say



Prefer to self describe



Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

006

What is your age?

(1/2)

<30 years

0 %

31-40 years

17 %

41-50 years

17 %

51-60 years

17 %

61-70 years

33 %

Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

006

What is your age?

(2/2)

71 years or older



Dr. Terry McCormack - Entrada tips & tricks

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

006

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

006

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

006

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

006

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

20 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

60 %

Other

20 %

Speaker evaluation (9/10)

0 0 1

If Other, please explain:

- explain acronyms that are not common medical lingo

Dr. Paul Komenda - Topics in Nephrology

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

005

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

005

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

005

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

005

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down



Speed up



Increase size of text on slides



Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly



Increase interactivity



Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

60 %

Other

20 %

Speaker evaluation (9/10)

0 0 1

If Other, please explain:

- I would like to see some cases

Speaker evaluation (10/10)

002

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments on this session.

- Knowledgeable speaker, would have liked a bit of case-based approach with some of these ideas. (ie the use of finerenone)_
- great talk Important info shared, learned.

Overall Event

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (1/19)

0 0 1

The program met the stated objectives

Strongly agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



February 9, 2023 session evaluation (2/19)

0 0 1

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program? (Select all that apply)

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other

0 %

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (4/19)

0 0 1

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



February 9, 2023 session evaluation (5/19)

0 0 1

The content of this program was evidence-based.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (6/19)

0 0 1

The program content enhanced my knowledge.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (7/19)

0 0 1

I will use the information I learned today in my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree

0 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (8/19)

0 0 1

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Not applicable to me/ Not sure

0 %

Medical Expert



100 %

Scholar



100 %

Collaborator

0 %

Communicator



100 %

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (8/19)

0 0 1

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Health advocate

0 %

Leader

0 %

Professional

100 %

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (9/19)

0 0 1

What was the most important knowledge, skill, or attitude you acquired in this session?

- Very interesting to hear about kidney check program and searching for those at risk

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (10/19)

0 0 1

Please indicate any changes that you plan to make in your practice as a result of participating in this program.

- Already use kidney risk equation but will use more Consider SHLT

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (11/19)

0 0 1

What barriers might stop you from making the above changes to your practice?

- Cost and lack of coverage

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (12/19)

0 0 1

Do you think these changes will affect patient outcomes? If yes, in what way(s)?

- Decrease progression

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (14/19)

0 0 1

**Please provide suggestions for topics, activities,
and speakers for future events.**

- CHF

February 9, 2023 session evaluation (15/19)

0 0 1

Did you find that the program today aligned with our mission statement?

Yes



No



February 9, 2023 session evaluation (17/19)

0 0 1

Did you feel that this event was inclusive?

Yes



No



Not sure



February 9, 2023 session evaluation (18/19)

0 0 1

Do you have any feedback to share on how we can be more inclusive?

- No



Morden/Winkler Community Based CPD Program

09 - 09 Mar 2023

Poll results

Table of contents

Participant Check-In

- Registered participants, please check in by typing your FIRST and LAST NAME here:

Welcome

- Please tell us about yourself

1000 Dr. Ladonna Majeau - Prenatal Pointers

- Speaker evaluation

1110 Dr. Leanne Nause - Obstetrics in the ER...

- Speaker evaluation

1330 Dr. Amanda Bergman - Complications of spinal and epidural pain management...

- Speaker evaluation

1430 Dr. Ashley Dyson & Dr. Rachel Noble

- Speaker evaluation

- Survey

Overall Event

Table of contents

- March 9, 2023 session evaluation

Participant Check-In

Registered participants, please check in by typing your **FIRST** and **LAST NAME** here:

- melissa Caswill
- Rachel Noble
- Christiaan Dreyer
- Leanne Nause
- Brian Duff
- Jocelyne Rondeau
- Jae Yeon Park
- matthew brett
- Rachel Wiens
- Jason Unger
- Carol Holmes
- Rachel Noble
- Carrie Ginter
- Kathryn (Kaytey) Martin
- Kevin Earl
- Amanda Bergman
- Jason Zhang
- John Jackson

Welcome

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

005

Please indicate your profession:
(1/4)

Family Physician



Specialist Physician

0 %

Pharmacist - Community

0 %

Pharmacist - Hospital

0 %

Pharmacist - Long term care

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

005

Please indicate your profession:
(2/4)

Resident/Student

0 %

Physician Assistant

0 %

Nurse Practitioner

0 %

Nurse

0 %

Social Worker

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

005

Please indicate your profession:
(3/4)

Dietitian

0 %

Occupational Therapist

0 %

Physiotherapist

0 %

Manager/Administrator

0 %

Other Health Professional

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

005

Please indicate your profession:
(4/4)

Non-health Professional

0 %

Other

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (2/6)

0 0 4

Please indicate your years in practice:

0 to 5 years

● 0 %

6 - 10 years

● 0 %

11 - 20 years

■ 25 %

21 years or more

■ 75 %

No current practice

● 0 %

Please tell us about yourself (3/6)

005

What is your primary practice location?

Urban

0 %

Rural

100 %

Northern/remote

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (4/6)

005

You identify your gender as:

Female



Male



Prefer not to say



Prefer to self describe



Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

005

What is your age?

(1/2)

<30 years

0 %

31-40 years

0 %

41-50 years

40 %

51-60 years

0 %

61-70 years

40 %

Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

005

What is your age?

(2/2)

71 years or older



1000 Dr. Ladonna Majeau - Prenatal Pointers

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 4

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 4

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

0 0 4

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 4

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

1110 Dr. Leanne Nause - Obstetrics in the ER...

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

003

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

003

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

003

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

003

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

003

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

003

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other



Speaker evaluation (7/10)

0 0 1

If Other, please explain:

- A nice, short review of managing certain post partum issues as well as examining the newborn baby.
Thank you!

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

**1330 Dr. Amanda Bergman -
Complications of spinal and epidural pain
management...**

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 4

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 4

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

003

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 4

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 4

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

1430 Dr. Ashley Dyson & Dr. Rachel Noble

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

005

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

005

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

0 0 4

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

005

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 2

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

002

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (10/10)

0 0 1

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments on this session.

- Enjoyed this informal type of presentation.

Survey (1/6)

0 1 4

Warmup #1: Shaquille O'Neal appeared in the 1997 film "Space Jam".

True



False



Survey (2/6)

0 1 6

Warmup #2 Which actor played the main character in the 1990 film "Edward Scissorhands"?

Leonardo DiCaprio

0 %

Clint Eastwood

13 %

Johnny Depp ✓

88 %

Ben Stiller

0 %

Survey (3/6)

0 1 4

Warmup #3 What's the most common time signature for rock songs?

8/12

0 %

1/2

0 %

2/4

50 %

4/4 ✓

50 %

Survey (4/6)

0 1 5

What percentage of couples will conceive if having sex every day of cycle?

60%



33 %

37% ✓



40 %

90%



27 %

10%



0 %

Survey (5/6)

0 1 6

Abstinence intervals (especially ≥ 10 days) increases sperm quality

True



False



Survey (6/6)

0 1 6

What 3 tests are most useful to us?

Hysterosalpingogram (tubes open or closed), Day 3 Antimullerian hormone (egg reserve), Day 3 Follicle Stimulating hormone (menopausal status)

0 %

Hysterosalpingogram, Semen Analysis (semen present and normal), Luteal progesterone level (evidence of ovulation)



25 %

Pelvic Ultrasound (normal female anatomy), Thyroid stimulating hormone (low or high can be linked to infertility and miscarriage), Semen Analysis

38 %

Pelvic Ultrasound, Semen Analysis, Luteal Progesterone

38 %

Overall Event

March 9, 2023 session evaluation (1/19)

003

The program met the stated objectives

Strongly agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



March 9, 2023 session evaluation (2/19)

002

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program? (Select all that apply)

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other

0 %

March 9, 2023 session evaluation (4/19)

003

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



March 9, 2023 session evaluation (5/19)

003

The content of this program was evidence-based.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



March 9, 2023 session evaluation (6/19)

002

The program content enhanced my knowledge.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



March 9, 2023 session evaluation (7/19)

003

I will use the information I learned today in my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



March 9, 2023 session evaluation (8/19)

002

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Not applicable to me/ Not sure

0 %

Medical Expert

100 %

Scholar

50 %

Collaborator

100 %

Communicator

50 %

March 9, 2023 session evaluation (8/19)

0 0 2

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Health advocate



Leader



Professional



March 9, 2023 session evaluation (15/19)

0 0 2

Did you find that the program today aligned with our mission statement?

Yes



No



March 9, 2023 session evaluation (17/19)

002

Did you feel that this event was inclusive?

Yes



No



Not sure



March 9, 2023 session evaluation (19/19)

0 0 1

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments.

- Excellent presentations today - found them all to be very beneficial and learned a lot! Thanks for continuing to provide high quality professional development!



Morden/Winkler Community Based CPD Program

13 - 13 Apr 2023

Poll results

Table of contents

Participant Check-In

- Registered participants, please check in by typing your FIRST and LAST NAME here:
- What topics would you like to hear?
- What is your best first choice for pain management for laceration in a four year old?
Can also rank
- 9 month old with cough and wheeze. VSS, mild distress. Management
- Would you give Dex for a patient with a recent history of croup symptoms who have now resolved?
- What is the role of acetaminophen or ibuprofen in a situation of febrile seizure
- What would be considered a low risk factor for a infant with invasive bacterial infection
- What would you consider as "Toxic" for a sick child?
- What is not a risk factor for Cerebral Edema in DKA

Welcome

- Please tell us about yourself

Table of contents

Dr. Grant Yung - pediatric ER essentials

- Speaker evaluation

Dr. Jay Nayak - Urology

- Speaker evaluation

Dr. Davinder Singh - Public health update on Southern Health pertussis outbreak

- Speaker evaluation

Dr. Peter Benoit - Public health update on Southern Health pertussis outbreak

- Speaker evaluation

Monique Gauthier - Public health update on Southern Health pertussis outbreak

- Speaker evaluation

Overall Event

- April 13, 2023 session evaluation

Participant Check-In

Registered participants, please check in by typing your **FIRST** and **LAST NAME** here:

- Sherwin Gacutan
- Leanne Nause
- Christiaan Dreyer
- Sherwin Gacutan
- Melissa Caswill
- Amanda Bergman
- Christiaan Dreyer
- Brian Duff
- Jocelyne Rondeau
- Rachel Wiens
- Jason Unger
- Phil Fourie
- matthew brett
- Kevin Earl
- Carol Holmes
- John Jackson
- Jason Zhang
- Chandy Jacob

What topics would you like to hear? (1/2)

006

Bronchiolitis



Asthma



Croup



Head Injury



Seizures (febrile)



Seizures (afebrile)



What topics would you like to hear? (2/2)

006

Fever no source



Sepsis

0 %

BRUE



Jaundice



DKA




Behavioral Problems



What is your best first choice for pain management for laceration in a four year old? Can also rank

0 0 4



9 month old with cough and wheeze. VSS, mild distress. Management

008

Salbutamol



amoxicillin



epinephrine



send home



Would you give Dex for a patient with a recent history of croup symptoms who have now resolved?

0 0 8

Yes

0 %

No

100 %

Depends on the parent choice

0 %

What is the role of acetaminophen or ibuprofen in a situation of febrile seizure

006

Reduces rate of further febrile seizures

 17 %

Improves comfort for the child only

 83 %

If fever does not resolve with acetaminophen or ibuprofen, a workup with blood work is indicated

 0 %

What would be considered a low risk factor for a infant with invasive bacterial infection

006

21 days of age

0 %

CRP 10 mg/L

0 %

Leuks in urine

0 %

Fussy infant

67 %

HR of 200 with crying

33 %

What would you consider as "Toxic" for a sick child?

007

Screaming for a prolonged time

0 %

less active (compared to usual)

43 %

Abnormal vitals

43 %

slightly paler than usual

14 %

What is not a risk factor for Cerebral Edema in DKA

006

Younger age



known history of diabetes



Insulin in 1st hour of management



Sodium bicarbonate use



Severe acidosis



Welcome

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

007

Please indicate your profession:
(1/4)

Family Physician



Specialist Physician



Pharmacist - Community



Pharmacist - Hospital



Pharmacist - Long term care



Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 0 7

Please indicate your profession:
(2/4)

Resident/Student

0 %

Physician Assistant

0 %

Nurse Practitioner

0 %

Nurse

0 %

Social Worker

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 0 7

Please indicate your profession:
(3/4)

Dietitian

0 %

Occupational Therapist

0 %

Physiotherapist

0 %

Manager/Administrator

0 %

Other Health Professional

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

007

Please indicate your profession:
(4/4)

Non-health Professional

0 %

Other

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (2/6)

007

Please indicate your years in practice:

0 to 5 years

0 %

6 - 10 years

0 %

11 - 20 years

29 %

21 years or more

71 %

No current practice

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (3/6)

007

What is your primary practice location?

Urban

0 %

Rural

100 %

Northern/remote

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (4/6)

007

You identify your gender as:

Female



Male



Prefer not to say



Prefer to self describe



Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

007

What is your age?

(1/2)

<30 years

0 %

31-40 years

0 %

41-50 years

29 %

51-60 years

0 %

61-70 years

29 %

Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

007

What is your age?

(2/2)

71 years or older



Dr. Grant Yung - pediatric ER essentials

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 8

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

008

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

008

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

008

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

007

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

007

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

007

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

007

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

86 %

Other

14 %

Speaker evaluation (9/10)

0 0 1

If Other, please explain:

- Waffled a lot. presentation was relevant but was no presented in an organised manner

Dr. Jay Nayak - Urology

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

007

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

007

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

006

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

007

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

007

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

007

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other



Speaker evaluation (7/10)

0 0 1

If Other, please explain:

- Technical difficulties at the start impacted delivery of part of his talk

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

006

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

17 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

006

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

67 %

Other

17 %

Speaker evaluation (9/10)

0 0 1

If Other, please explain:

- Audio problem.

Speaker evaluation (10/10)

0 0 1

We value your feedback! Please provide any additional thoughts or comments on this session.

- I appreciated the explanation of the Gleason score

**Dr. Davinder Singh - Public health update
on Southern Health pertussis outbreak**

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

005

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

005

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

005

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 4

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

75 %

Disagree

25 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

**Dr. Peter Benoit - Public health update on
Southern Health pertussis outbreak**

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

005

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

005

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

005

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

005

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

005

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

005

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

**Monique Gauthier - Public health update
on Southern Health pertussis outbreak**

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 1

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 1

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (3/10)

0 0 1

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 1

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

Overall Event

April 13, 2023 session evaluation (1/19)

003

The program met the stated objectives

Strongly agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



April 13, 2023 session evaluation (2/19)

003

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program? (Select all that apply)

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other

0 %

April 13, 2023 session evaluation (4/19)

003

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

67 %

Disagree

33 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

April 13, 2023 session evaluation (5/19)

003

The content of this program was evidence-based.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



April 13, 2023 session evaluation (6/19)

003

The program content enhanced my knowledge.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

April 13, 2023 session evaluation (7/19)

003

I will use the information I learned today in my practice.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

April 13, 2023 session evaluation (8/19)

003

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Not applicable to me/ Not sure



Medical Expert



Scholar



Collaborator



Communicator



April 13, 2023 session evaluation (8/19)

003

Which CanMEDs roles were addressed during this educational activity? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Health advocate

0 %

Leader

0 %

Professional

33 %

April 13, 2023 session evaluation (15/19)

003

Did you find that the program today aligned with our mission statement?

Yes



No



April 13, 2023 session evaluation (17/19)

003

Did you feel that this event was inclusive?

Yes



No



Not sure



April 13, 2023 session evaluation (18/19)

0 0 1

Do you have any feedback to share on how we can be more inclusive?

- I am not sure what is meant by the term inclusive in this question but I would say the pediatric emergency topics were primarily useful for physicians working in the ER



Morden/Winkler Community Based CPD Program

11 - 11 May 2023

Poll results

Table of contents

Participant Check-In

- Registered participants, please check in by typing your FIRST and LAST NAME here:

Welcome

- Please tell us about yourself

Dr. Rajin Dhaliwal - Diabetic Foot Exam

- Speaker evaluation

Dr. Katie Takatch - Diabetic Foot Exams

- Speaker evaluation

Dr. Liam Barrett - Diabetic Foot Exam

- Speaker evaluation

Dr. Elisabete Doyle - Pediatric abuse/neglect

- Speaker evaluation

Dr. Martha Balicki - Pediatric abuse/neglect

- Speaker evaluation

Participant Check-In

Registered participants, please check in by typing your FIRST and LAST NAME here:

0 1 3

- Sherwin Gacutan
- Christiaan Dreyer
- Kevin Earl
- Jason Unger
- Rachel Wiens
- Leanne Nause
- Melissa Caswill
- Philip Fourie
- Jocelyne Rondeau
- David martens
- Kathryn (Kaytey) Martin
- matthew brett
- Christiaan Dreyer

Welcome

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 0 2

Please indicate your profession:
(1/4)

Family Physician



Specialist Physician

0 %

Pharmacist - Community

0 %

Pharmacist - Hospital

0 %

Pharmacist - Long term care

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 0 2

Please indicate your profession:
(2/4)

Resident/Student

0 %

Physician Assistant

0 %

Nurse Practitioner

0 %

Nurse

0 %

Social Worker

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 0 2

Please indicate your profession:
(3/4)

Dietitian

0 %

Occupational Therapist

0 %

Physiotherapist

0 %

Manager/Administrator

0 %

Other Health Professional

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (1/6)

0 0 2

Please indicate your profession:
(4/4)

Non-health Professional

0 %

Other

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (2/6)

0 0 2

Please indicate your years in practice:

0 to 5 years



6 - 10 years



11 - 20 years



21 years or more



No current practice



Please tell us about yourself (3/6)

0 0 2

What is your primary practice location?

Urban

0 %

Rural

100 %

Northern/remote

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (4/6)

0 0 2

You identify your gender as:

Female



Male



Prefer not to say

0 %

Prefer to self describe

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

0 0 2

What is your age?

(1/2)

<30 years

0 %

31-40 years

50 %

41-50 years

50 %

51-60 years

0 %

61-70 years

0 %

Please tell us about yourself (6/6)

0 0 2

What is your age?

(2/2)

71 years or older

0 %

Dr. Rajin Dhaliwal - Diabetic Foot Exam

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

002

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 2

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (3/10)

002

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

002

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials

0 %

Incorporated interactivity

0 %

Engaging style of presentation

0 %

Highlighted key points

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

Dr. Katie Takatch - Diabetic Foot Exams

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

002

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (2/10)

002

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (3/10)

002

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

002

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials

0 %

Incorporated interactivity

0 %

Engaging style of presentation

0 %

Highlighted key points

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)

(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

Dr. Liam Barrett - Diabetic Foot Exam

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

003

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (2/10)

003

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (3/10)

003

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 3

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree



Agree



Disagree



Strongly Disagree



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

003

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials

0 %

Incorporated interactivity

0 %

Engaging style of presentation

0 %

Highlighted key points

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 3

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Slow down

0 %

Speed up

0 %

Increase size of text on slides

0 %

Link slides/supporting materials to the talk more clearly

0 %

Increase interactivity

0 %

Speaker evaluation (8/10)

003

Is there anything the speaker could have done to be more effective? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Simplify content/include less detail

0 %

Mitigate bias more effectively

0 %

No improvements needed

100 %

Other

0 %

**Dr. Elisabete Doyle - Pediatric
abuse/neglect**

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 1

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 1

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (3/10)

0 0 1

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 1

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials

0 %

Incorporated interactivity

0 %

Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %

**Dr. Martha Balicki - Pediatric
abuse/neglect**

Speaker evaluation (1/10)

0 0 1

The presentation was consistent with the stated objectives.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (2/10)

0 0 1

Presentation provided information which was relevant to my practice.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (3/10)

0 0 1

Did you perceive any degree of bias in any part of the program?

No



Yes - Speaker's funding

0 %

Yes - Speaker's mention of specific pharmaceuticals or products

0 %

Yes - Speaker's expression of personal opinions

0 %

Yes - Other reason

0 %

Speaker evaluation (5/10)

0 0 1

This event promoted active engagement of learners.

Strongly Agree

0 %

Agree

100 %

Disagree

0 %

Strongly Disagree

0 %

Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(1/2)

Explained content well



Clear and organized slides/materials



Incorporated interactivity



Engaging style of presentation



Highlighted key points



Speaker evaluation (6/10)

0 0 1

Is there anything which the speaker did exceptionally well? (Select all that apply)
(2/2)

Other

0 %